REMARKS

The Examiner rejected Claims 32-34 as being unpatentable over US Patent 4,941,721 to Banton et al. in view of US Patent 5,315,095 to Marom et al. In response, Applicants have amended Claim 32 to better distinguish the prior art by including an additional limitation directed to sag values of the non-reentrant surfaces. A similar limitation appears in Claim 35, which was allowed by the Examiner.

Applicants have also added new claims that depend from Claims 32 and 35. Claims 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, and 53 are similar to originally filed Claims 14, 18, 6, 9, 10, 11, 27, and 28, respectively. Claims 54 and 55 are similar to originally filed Claims 6 and 11, respectively.

Respectfully submitted,

H. Coufal et al.

Daniel E. Johnson

Daniel E. Johnson (Reg. No. 37,033)
Agent for Applicants
Phone (408) 927-3367

ARC920010095US2.am3.doc

PAGE 10/10 * RCVD AT 5/7/2004 5:17:01 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-1/1 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:408 927 3375 * DURATION (mm-ss):02-30